

| | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|
| Michael Ray Yonce, |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | Civil Action No. 5:17-cv-03413-TMC |
| v. |) | |
| |) | ORDER |
| Nancy A. Berryhill, Acting Commissioner |) | |
| Of Social Security, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |

The Report has no presumptive weight and the responsibility to make a final determination in this matter remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). In the absence of objections, this court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the Report. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, “in the absence of a

timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

After a thorough and careful review of the record under the appropriate standards as set forth above, the court adopts the Report of the Magistrate Judge (ECF No. 25), which is incorporated herein by reference. Accordingly, the Commissioner’s final decision is **AFFIRMED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Timothy M. Cain
Timothy M. Cain
United States District Judge

Anderson, South Carolina
December 14, 2018